

## HARYANA STATE LOTTERIES

The 2nd September, 1975

No. DOL/HR/75/17746.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to select the following persons as Judges for the supervision of the Mini Draw to be held on Wednesday, the 3rd September, 1975 :—

1. Miss Bharti Parsad, I.A.&A.S.,  
Assistant Accountant-General, Haryana,  
Chandigarh.
2. Shri S. S. Kakkar, HCS,  
Administrative Officer,  
Post-Graduate Institute of  
Medical Education and Research,  
Sector 12, Chandigarh.
3. Dr. Parkash Kaur,  
Medical Officer,  
Incharge Health Centre,  
Sector 22, Chandigarh.
4. Shri R. L. Behl,  
Income Tax Officer, Salary Circle,  
Chandigarh.
5. Mrs. Bailur,  
w/o Shri G. L. Bailur, IAS,  
Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Co-operation, Animal Husbandry,  
Fisheries, Forests, Wild Life Preservation Departments,  
Chandigarh.

H. K. JAIN, IAS,

Director of Lotteries and  
Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Finance Department.

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 26th August, 1975

No. 9565-4Lab-75/26359.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Shri Panna Lal Contractor, Sugar Mills, Yamuna Nagar.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 15 of 1975

between

SHRI JAGAN NATH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF SHRI PANNA LAL CONTRACTOR,  
SUGAR MILLS, YAMUNA NAGAR

Present—

Shri Panna Lal, for the respondent.

Nemo for the workman.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/Amb/372-B-75/14209-13, dated 10th March, 1975, of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Panna Lal Contractor, Sugar Mills, Yamuna Nagar, and its workman Shri Jagan Nath, was referred for adjudication to this Court, in exercise of the powers conferred

by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jagan Nath was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

Usual notices of this reference being served on the parties, Shri Panna Lal respondent appeared before me on 11th August, 1975. The workman, however, did not appear despite being personally served of the notice of reference, —*vide* registered post. His absence indicates that he is not interested in pursuing the demand made by him on the management, in respect of the illegality of the termination of his services.

Shri Panna Lal Contractor relies on written settlement alleged to have been arrived at between him and the workman whereby the latter has given up all his claims in consideration of his re-employment by the former as casual coolie on the condition that he shall be at liberty to terminate his services in case of want of sufficient work to observe the workman.

Shri Panna Lal in *ex parte* evidence made his statement, thereby proving the written settlement Ex. M. 1 as duly signed by the workman at mark 'A'. I see no reason to disbelieve his statement particularly when the proceedings against the workman are *ex parte*.

I, therefore, relying upon the statement of Shri Panna Lal, hold that the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement whereby the workman has relinquished all claims under this reference and there is now no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication.

I as such return a no-dispute award. There shall be no order as to costs.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Dated the 12th August, 1975.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 1923, dated 14th August, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 5966-4Lab-75/26475.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Panipat Co-operative Distillery, Panipat.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 23 of 1974

*between*

SHRI NANHU RAM AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S PANIPAT CO-OPERATIVE  
DISTILLERY, PANIPAT

*Present*—

Shri Karan Singh, for the workman.

Shri Surinder Kaushal, for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/KNL/169-G-74/8378-82, dated 29th March, 1974, of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Panipat Co-operative Distillery, Panipat and its workman Shri Nanhu Ram was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Nanhu Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

Usual notices of the reference having been issued for service on the parties, they appeared before the Labour Court and filed their pleadings. Whereas the workman alleged that his services had been terminated without an opportunity to him to show cause against the proposed punishment and without service of charge-sheet on him, the management pleaded that the workman had been engaged on temporary basis and he had left service of his own accord on 16th October, 1973, after receipt of his dues in full and final settlement of his claims.

The following issues were framed on pleas of the parties who led evidence in support of their claim:—

- (1) Whether Shri Nanhu Ram workman concerned had been engaged on temporary basis and he had left service of his own accord on 16th October, 1973, and received his full and final dues from the management ?
- (2) Whether the termination of services of Shri Nanhu Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled.

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement before me whereby the management has agreed to reinstate the workman on regular basis and in confirmed status with effect from 8th August, 1975 and pay to him one-half of his wages payable to him for the period from 17th October, 1973 to 26th August, 1974. The workman has also agreed to this arrangement.

I thus in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties return a settlement award with the terms as stated above. There shall be no order as to costs.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Dated the 8th August, 1975.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 1835, dated 11th August, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

The 28th August, 1975

No. 9811-4Lab-75/26753.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Globe Metal Industries, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 39 of 1975

*between*

SHRI RAJ KUMAR AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S GLOBE METAL INDUSTRIES,  
JAGADHRI.

*Present* :—

Shri Surinder Kumar, for the workman.

Shri Subhash Chander, for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/Amb/286-A-75/31782-86, dated 4th June, 1975, of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Globe Metal Industries, Jagadhri, and its workman Shri Raj Kumar was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Raj Kumar was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

Usual notices of the reference having been sent to the parties for their service, Shri Surinder Kumar appeared for the workman and Shri Subhash Chander appeared for the management. The parties arrived at an amicable settlement and made their statements in terms thereof on 12th August, 1975.

Shri Surinder Kumar, representative for the workman, stated that the workman had agreed to receive a sum of Rs. 500 in full and final settlement of all his claims against the management, relating to this reference. Shri Subhash Chander made a statement agreeing with the statement of the representative of the workman.

It would thus appear that the dispute between the parties relating to this reference stands resolved,—vide an amicable settlement. I thus return a settlement award with a condition that the management shall pay a sum of Rs. 500 within one week and the latter shall have no claim against the management relating to this reference. There shall be no order as to costs.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Dated the 12th August, 1975.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 1959, dated 21st August, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 9812-4Lab-75/26757.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Lakhu Shah Metal Industries, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 37 of 1975

between

SHRI KULDEEP SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S LAKHU SHAH METAL  
INDUSTRIES, JAGADHRI

Present:—

Shri Surinder Kumar, for the workman.

Shri Chiman Lal Partner, for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/Amb/390-A-75/31602-6 dated 3rd June, 1975 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Lakhu Shah Metal Industries, Jagadhri, and its workman Shri Kuldeep Singh was referred for adjudication to this Court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kuldeep Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Usual notices of the reference having been sent for service to the parties, Shri Surinder Kumar, representative for the workman, has admitted in my presence the correctness of written settlement exhibited by me as M. 1 and as relied on by the management bearing an admission of the workman that he has no claim against the management in respect of this reference and that all his disputes have been settled.

I, therefore, now in view of the statement of Shri Surinder Kumar admitting the correctness of the written settlement Exhibit M. 1, hold that there is no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication and all demands of the workman made on the management have since been satisfied. I accordingly return a no-dispute award with no order as to costs.

Dated the 13th August, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 1960, dated 21st August, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak..

Dated the 13th August, 1975.

No. 9810-4Lab-75/26761.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Balaji Metal Industries, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK.

Reference No. 30 of 1974  
*between*

SHRI LACHHMAN SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BALAJI METAL  
INDUSTRIES, JAGADHRI

Present :

Shri Surinder Kumar, for the workman.  
Shri Parkash Goel, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. 1D/Amb/330-A-74/11502-6, dated 2nd May, 1974 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Balaji Metal Industries, Jagadhri and its workman Shri Lachhman Singh was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Lachhman Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Usual notices of the reference having been sent for service to the parties, Shri Surinder Kumar, appeared for workman and Shri Sat Parkash Goel, for the management.

Shri Surinder Kumar made a statement on 12th August, 1975 withholding the notice of demand served on the management by the workman and giving out that the later had no claim against the respondent relating to this reference.

I in view of the statement made by Shri Surinder Kumar representative for the workman hold that there is no dispute between the parties requiring adjudication. I accordingly return a no dispute award. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 12th August, 1975.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 1958, dated 21st August, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

The 22nd August, 1975

No. 9446-4Lab-75/26763.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Oswal Engineering General Works, 48 Industrial Area, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 34 of 1975

*between*

SHRI WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S OSWAL ENGINEERING AND  
GENERAL WORKS, 48, INDUSTRIAL AREA, FARIDABAD

Present :—

Shri Memo Lal, for the workman.  
Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/74/7875, dated 4th February, 1975 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Oswal Engineering and General Works, 48 Industrial Area, Faridabad and its workmen were referred for adjudication to this Tribunal in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

- (1) Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of dearness allowance ? If so, with what details ?
- (2) Whether the workmen should be supplied uniforms ? If so, with what details ?
- (3) Whether the workmen should be issued Gur ? If so, with what details ?

Notices of the reference having been issued to the parties, Shri R. C. Sharma, authorised representative of the management made a statement on 21st July, 1975 that the parties had arrived at an amicable settlement on 6th January, 1975 and the same having been induced in writing had duly been signed by them. He brought on record the settlement Ex. M-1 alleged to have been executed by the parties.

The workmen or their representatives refused to appear despite service of the notice of reference and this indicates that they had no objection to the settlement relied upon by the management.

The workmen are found to have agreed to an *ad hoc* increase in their pay of Rs. 15 per month with effect from 1st December, 1974 in full and final settlement of their demands made by them on 21st September, 1974, in respect of grant of dearness allowance, supply of uniform and Gur.

I am, therefore, satisfied that no dispute remains to be decided between the parties under the reference. The reference is, therefore, answered accordingly.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated 24th July, 1975.

No. 1182, dated 25th July, 1975.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated 24th July, 1975.

P. P. CAPRIHAN, Commissioner & Secy.

राजस्व विभाग

युद्ध जागीर

दिनांक 1 सितम्बर, 1975

क्रमांक 1601-ज(1)-75/26589.—श्री मूल चन्द, पुत्र श्री राज करण, गांव डूंगरवास, तहसील रिवाड़ी, जिला गुडगांवा, (अब महेन्द्रगढ़) की दिनांक 17 जून, 1973 को हुई मृत्यु के परिणाम स्वरूप, हरियाणा के राजवाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में प्रातापागरा है और उसमें प्रांज तह संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2(ए) (1) तथा 3(1ए) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए सहर्ष आदेश देते हैं, कि श्री मूल चन्द की मब्लिंग 150 स्पष्ट वार्षिक की जागीर, जो उसे संयुक्त पंजाब सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 7930-जे एन-III-66/11800, दिनांक 16 जून, 1966 तथा हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 5041-प्रार-III-70/29505, दिनांक 8 दिसम्बर, 1970 द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विवरा श्रीमती रजनीत के नाम रवी 1974 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत तबदील की जाती है।

दिनांक 2 सितम्बर, 1975

क्रमांक 2194 ज-(1)-75/26738—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसमें आज तक हरियाणा सरकार द्वारा संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2 1 (ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग

करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर उन के सामने दी गई फसल तथा राशी एवं सनद में दी गई शर्तोंके अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं :—

क्रमांक	जिला	जागीर पाने वाले का नाम	गांव का पता	तहसील	फसल/वर्ष जब से जागीर दी गई	वार्षिक राशि
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
रुपये						
1	भिवानी	श्रीमति निहाली, वेवा मस्ता राम	ढाणी फोगाट	चरखी दादरी	रबी, 1968 से रबी, 1970 तक खरीफ 1970 से	100 150
2	भिवानी	श्री सूरज भान, पुत्र प्रभु दपाल	हिडोल	चरखी दादरी	रबी, 1973 से	150

क्रमांक 1344-ज (1)-75/26742.—श्री सुलतान सिंह, पुत्र हरद्वारी लाल, गांव राम गढ़, तहसील रिवाड़ी, जिला गुडगांव (अब महेन्द्रगढ़) की दिनांक 14 मई, 1972 को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप, हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध जागीर पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2(ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए सहर्ष ग्रादेश देते हैं कि श्री सुलतानसिंह की मबिलग 150 रुपये वार्षिक की जागीर, जो उसे हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 465-र (III)-68/85560, दिनांक 22 अप्रैल, 1969 तथा अधिसूचना क्रमांक 5041-आर-III-70/29505, दिनांक 8 दिसम्बर, 1970 द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमति सामाकीर के नाम खरीफ 1972 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तोंके अन्तर्गत तबदील की जाती है।

दिनांक 3 सितम्बर, 1975

क्रमांक 2164-ज(II)-75/26841.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार, अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2 (ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्रीमति चावली देवी, विधवा श्री लाल जी, गांव झामरी, तहसील झज्जर, जिला रोहतक को खरीफ 1964 से रबी, 1970 तक 100 रुपये वार्षिक तथा खरीफ 1970 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर, उसे दी गई सनद में वर्णित शर्तोंके अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 1941-ज(II)-75/26845.—श्री शिव लाल, पुत्र श्री उदे राम, गांव खेड़ी आसरा, तहसील झज्जर, जिला रोहतक को दिनांक 28 दिसम्बर, 1968 को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप, हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2(ए) (I) तथा 3(I) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए सहर्ष ग्रादेश देते हैं, कि श्री शिव लाल को मबिलग 100 रुपये वार्षिक की जागीर, जो उसे संयुक्त पंजाब सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 1138-जे.एन.-III-66/2050, दिनांक 9 फरवरी, 1966 द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमती नान्हो के नाम खरीफ 1969 से रबी 1970 तक 100 रुपये वार्षिक तथा खरीफ 1970 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तोंके अन्तर्गत तबदील की जाती है।

क्रमांक 2294-ज-II-75/26851.—श्री सुखलाल, पुत्र श्री मंगल, गांव मान्डोठी, तहसील झज्जर, जिला रोहतक की दिनांक 18 अक्टूबर, 1974 को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप, हरियाणा के राज्यपाल पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं (1ए) (ए)

तथा 3( 1ए) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए सहर्ष आदेश देते हैं, कि श्री सुखलाल की मविलग 150 रुपये वार्षिक की जागीर, जो उसे हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 3575-ज-II-72 /38977, दिनांक 19 अक्टूबर, 1972 द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमति छोटी के नाम खरीफ 1975 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी, गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत तबदील की जाती है।

क्रमांक 1902-ज-(I)-75/26855—श्री बदा राम, पुत्र मोहन सिंह, गांव हड्डोदा कलां, तहसील चरखी दादरी, जिला महेन्द्रगढ़ (अब भिवानी) को दिनांक 15 अगस्त, 1970 को हुई मृत्यु के परिणाम स्वरूप, हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उस में आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2 (1ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए सहर्ष आदेश देते हैं, कि श्री बदा राम को मविलग 100 रुपये वार्षिक की जागीर, जो उसे संयुक्त पंजाब सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 6270-जै०एन०-III-66/10141, दिनांक 2 जून, 1966 द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमति सारादेवी के नाम खरी 1971 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत तबदील की जाती है।

यशवन्त कुमार जैन,  
विशेष कार्य अधिकारी, हरियाणा सरकार,  
राजस्व विभाग।

DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT DEPARTMENT  
The 3rd September, 1975

No. F-144-A2-Pts-Trg-75/34328-A.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to give approval to the deputation of Shri Brij Mohan Gulati, Veterinary Assistant Surgeon, working under the Director of Animal Husbandry, Himachal Pradesh, as Instructor, Animal Husbandry at the State Community Development Training Centre, Nilokheri, District Karnal in the scale of Rs. 400—30—640/40—800, with effect from 4th July, 1975 (forenoon) against a vacant post. The post is purely temporary and the period of deputation is one year for the present.

RANJIT ISSAR, Dy Secy. Dy. Secy.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT BUILDINGS AND  
ROADS BRANCH

The 25th August, 1975

No. 28HA/63F/740.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by the Government at public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing Khabra Kalan to Chuli Bagrian Road, It is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provision of section IV of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, P.W.D. (B&R) Haryana Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Khasra No.
Hissar	Fatehabad	Khabra Kalan	5610×40/ 9×4840 5.15Acres	173 426, 420, 5, 6, 15, 16, 25

District	Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Khasra No.
Hissar	Fatehabad	Khabra Kalan —concl	188 5, 6, 15, 16 210	189 20, 21 2, 9, 12, 13 227
			18, 23, 24 248	25 3, 4, 7, 14, 15, 16, 17, 25 247 297
			5, 6 298	1, 10, 11, 19, 20, 22, 5 278 266
			1 279	2, 8, 9, 13, 18, 23, 24 279
			4, 6, 7, 14, 15, 16, 25	
Do	Do	Chuli Bagrian	10790 × 40 9 × 4840 9.91 acres	763, 764, 765, 766, 778 — 800, 33 5 32 42 1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 22, 1, 2, 9, 12, 19, 42 22, 23 67 78 2, 3, 8, 13, 17, 18, 23, 24, 4, 7, 14, 16, 78 17, 24, 25 103 102 5, 6, 15, 16, 11, 20, 21, 22, 23 117 151 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 14, 17, 4, 5, 7, 13, 14, 151 17, 18, 23 165 2, 3, 8, 9 Total 16460 × 40 9 × 4840 15.06 acres

No. 28HA/63F/741.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing Bhattu Khabra via Suli Khera Road, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provision of section IV of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, P.W.D., B. & R., Haryana, Ambala Cantt.

## SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Hissar	Fatehabad	Bhattu	7623×40 9×4840 7.00 acres	468 733, 467, —, 599, 600, 475, 1 336 339 300 301 5, 1, 9 21 2, 8, 14, 301 295 294 —, —, —, —, —, 16, 25 20, 21, 22 3, 4, 7, 15, 16 261 262 —, —, —, —, 1, 9, 22, 23 4, 6 242 —, —, —, —, 10, 12, 18, 24
Do	Do	Suli Khera	10371×40 9×4840 9.52 acres	108 107 123, 124, 125, 116, —, — 2 1/2 117, 118, 109, 26, 28, 160, 167, 96 438, 469, 470, —, — 5, 6, 15, 16, 25 97 92 —, —, —, —, 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 3, 4, 8, 12 92 93 —, —, —, —, 13, 19, 20, 21, 22 25 76 56 —, —, —, —, 24 1, 10, 11 55 48 —, —, —, —, 5 10, 12, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25 49 32 —, —, —, —, 5 9, 13, 17, 18, 24 28
Do	Do	Khabra	6311×40 9×4840 5.79 acres	420, 425, 426, — 1, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 22 41 —, —, —, —, 2, 3, 8, 9, 13, 18, 23, 24 75 62 —, —, —, —, 5 3, 4, 7, 14, 15, 16, 17, 25 76 100 —, —, —, —, 1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 22 1, 2, 9, 12, 13, 100 115 —, —, —, —, 18, 19, 22, 23 3, 4, 7, 8, 14, 17 115 137 173 —, —, —, —, 24, 25 20, 21 5, 6 138 153 —, —, —, —, 22.31 acres 4, 5, 6, 15, 16, 25 5, 6, 15, 16, 25
Total ..		24305×40 9×4840 22.31 acres		